



**Capital Flight from Natural Resource-
Dependent African Countries:
Updated Estimates and Analysis for the
Cases of Cameroon, Ghana, and
Zambia, 1970-2022**

Léonce Ndikumana

January 2025
(Updated from 08/2023)

**POLITICAL ECONOMY
RESEARCH INSTITUTE**

WORKINGPAPER SERIES

Number 582

Capital flight from natural resource-dependent African countries:
Updated Estimates and Analysis for the Cases of Cameroon, Ghana, and Zambia, 1970-2022¹

Léonce Ndikumana²

PERI WORKING PAPER Number 582

August 2023

Revised: January 2025

Abstract

This paper is part of a project that investigates domestic and global drivers and enablers of capital flight from Cameroon, Ghana and Zambia, three countries that are significantly endowed in natural resources. It presents estimates of capital flight from these three countries and resents an overview of the key drivers of the phenomenon. The results show that as of 2022, total capital flight stood at \$97.7 billion for Cameroon, \$85.7 billion for Ghana, and \$79.6 billion for Zambia. External borrowing and, in the cases of Zambia and Cameroon, trade misinvoicing, are key channels of capital flight. This study contributes to efforts to uncover the mechanisms and enablers of capital flight from Africa, with the aim of shedding light on possible strategies to curb further capital outflows in the context of efforts to scale up and diversify development financing to support recovery from global crises, and the transition to green growth, and boost sustainable development in Africa.

¹ This paper is a product of a research project funded by a grant from Carnegie Corporation of New York (a fellowship awarded to Principal Investigator Léonce Ndikumana, Award No. G-F-21-58369), which is very much appreciated. The project examines domestic and global drivers of capital flight from Africa focusing on natural-resource rich countries with an illustration with the cases of Cameroon, Ghana and Zambia. The country-based research team for the country case studies includes Hans Mpenya (Cameroon), William Godfred Cantah and Kwame Adjei-Mantey (Ghana), Dale Mudenda (Zambia). PhD students Bilen Gurara and Just Lokosso co-authored a chapter each and provided excellent research assistance on other chapters. The author of this paper is grateful for generous research funding from PERI. He appreciates valuable comments and suggestions from James K. Boyce.

² Distinguished Professor of Economics and Director of the African Development Policy Program at the Political Economy Research Institute (PERI), University of Massachusetts Amherst. ndiku@umass.edu; <https://www.umass.edu/economics/ndikumana>

1. Introduction

African countries face daunting challenges in meeting the large and growing needs for financing their development agenda. The situation has been exacerbated by the Covid-19 pandemic and the war in Ukraine that have caused a contraction in economic activity, reduced government revenue and capital flows, and undermined macroeconomic stability. African countries are experiencing financial stress associated with increasing external debt burden due to rising world interest rates and an increasing share of expensive private debt. Some countries have been forced to default, including Zambia, one of the countries covered by this study, while others such as Ghana have fallen into the debt distress category, which makes harder for them to access additional external finance.

At the same time, African countries have experienced an acceleration of financial hemorrhage through capital flight since the turn of the twenty-first century. It is estimated that as of 2022, Africa had lost more than \$2.8 trillion through unrecorded capital outflows (Ndikumana and Boyce, 2024). These outflows vastly exceed the resources that flow into the continent in the form of official development aid, foreign direct investment, or external borrowing. The evidence suggests that strategies to boost financing for development in Africa must put the problem of capital flight and other illicit financial flows at the center stage. Specifically, instead of focusing only on how to attract more external financing, African governments and their development partners must adopt strategies to curb illicit capital outflows and repatriate the wealth accumulated abroad through capital flight.

While all countries are affected by capital flight, natural resource-dependent African countries experience comparatively more capital flight than their resource-scarce counterparts. According to the latest estimates, eight of the top fifteen African countries with the highest volume of capital flight are resource-dependent (Ndikumana and Boyce, 2021; see details in the next section).³ This suggests that the causes of capital flight are country and context specific. This has motivated

³ The top fifteen countries in order of highest amount of capital flight, are: Nigeria, South Africa, Morocco, Algeria, Angola, Ethiopia, Mozambique, Cameroon, Rep. of Congo, Ghana, Zambia, Egypt, Côte d'Ivoire, Tanzania, and Uganda.

research that goes beyond the analysis at the aggregate and cross-sectional levels towards case studies to better understand the mechanisms, channels, enablers and institutional drivers of capital flight from the continent. This study follows in the tracks of the recent work by Ndikumana and Boyce (2022) and their colleagues that investigated the cases of Angola, Côte d'Ivoire, and South Africa to uncover specific drivers of capital flight and the role of domestic and external enablers of the plunder of natural resources that fuels capital outflows.

This paper is part of a study focused on the cases of Cameroon, Ghana and Zambia, which are significantly endowed in natural resources and have experienced substantial capital flight over the past decades. Total capital flight over the 1970-2022 period amounts to \$97.7 billion for Cameroon, \$85.7 billion for Ghana, and \$79.6 billion for Zambia. Key channels of capital flight in the three countries are external borrowing and trade misinvoicing, except for Ghana where the latter is minimal. While external borrowing directly and indirectly fuels capital flight, trade misinvoicing constitutes an important mechanism of unrecorded cross-border capital movement. In all three countries, capital flight has accelerated since the beginning of the twenty-first century, even as they confronted the effects of severe global crises arising from the COVID-19 pandemic and the war in Ukraine, which have negatively affected international financial flows, trade and other critical aspects of the global economy. The results at the country level shed light on possible strategies to curb further capital outflows in the context of efforts to scale up development financing to support recovery from global crises and the transition to green growth, and boost sustainable development in Africa.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section reviews the literature on the drivers of capital flight with a focus on how the analysis at the case study level can help advance knowledge on the subject. Section 3 presents the data sources and methodology used to estimate capital flight. This is followed by a presentation and discussion of the estimation results in Section 4. Section 5 concludes the paper with a summary of the findings and some policy recommendations.

2. Drivers of capital flight

The economic literature on capital flight has devoted substantial attention to understanding the nature of the phenomenon, measuring its magnitude, and exploring empirically its drivers over time and across countries. The empirical evidence has convincingly shown that capital flight is a severe problem for all developing countries, and whose intensity continues to increase over time. Understanding the drivers of capital flight is essential for the design and implementation of policies to prevent it and encourage the repatriation of wealth stashed abroad through capital flight.

Thus far, however, most of the analysis has been undertaken primarily at the cross-sectional and aggregate level. Recently, however, efforts have been undertaken to delve into the complexity of the drivers and mechanisms of capital flight at the country level, considering domestic as well as external dimensions of the phenomenon. The volume edited by Ndikumana and Boyce (2022) presents compelling evidence on the cases of Angola (Shaxson, 2022), Côte d'Ivoire (Merckaert, 2022) and South Africa (Aboobaker, Naidoo and Ndikumana, 2022). Country-level analysis helps to shed light on the pertinence of the evidence accumulated from aggregate and cross-country studies. This section highlights key dimensions where the literature can benefit substantially from case studies, which helps to motivate the case study on Cameroon, Ghana and Zambia.

Natural resources and capital flight

The evidence from the empirical literature shows a close connection between capital flight and endowment in minerals and oil in developing countries. In the case of Africa, oil and mineral-rich countries feature prominently on the top of the list of countries with the highest volume of capital flight (Ndikumana and Boyce, 2024). Table 1 presents estimates of total capital flight over 1970-2022 for the top 15 countries along with indicators of the contribution of natural resources and manufactured products to total exports considering five-year averages over 2018-2022. In thirteen of the top fifteen countries, primary commodities account for more than 60 percent of total exports. The two exceptions are Egypt (53.9%) and Morocco (27.4%). The share of primary commodities in total exports exceeds 90% for eight of the fifteen top. Minerals are the dominant commodities for South Africa (38.9% of total exports) and Zambia (78.5%). Agricultural commodities account for a sizeable share of total exports in Côte d'Ivoire (39.7%), Ethiopia (30%), and Ghana (15.7%). The flip side of heavy endowment in natural resources is lack of diversification as exhibited by the small share of manufactured products in total exports. Manufactured products represent less than

20 percent of total exports in these countries, with the exceptions of Egypt, Morocco, and South Africa.

Table 1: Importance of natural resources (as percentage of total exports) among African countries with the highest amount of capital flight (over 1970-2018)

	Capital flight (1970-2022) (\$billion)	Fuels (% of exports)	Ores, metals, precious stones, and non-monetary gold (% of exports)	Coffee, tea, cocoa, spices, and manufactures thereof (% of exports)	All primary commodities (% of exports)	Manufactured goods (% of exports)
Nigeria*	633.6	91.1	2.1	1.1	96.4	3.4
South Africa	422.1	10.5	38.9	0.2	61.9	37.9
Morocco	170.8	0.4	5.7	0.3	27.4	71.7
Algeria*	140.8	93.2	0.5	0.0	95.0	4.7
Angola*	133.2	92.8	5.1	0.0	98.3	1.7
Ethiopia	112.8	0.02	9.2	30.2	79.8	17.8
Mozambique	97.8	39.9	36.0	0.2	93.4	5.3
Cameroon*	97.7	50.3	5.8	14.4	93.6	6.3
Congo, Rep.*	90.8	68.8	18.7	0.4	92.6	7.0
Ghana*	85.7	28.0	41.4	15.7	94.7	5.0
Zambia	79.6	2.0	78.5	0.2	89.1	10.8
Egypt*	75.6	28.2	8.8	0.8	53.9	45.4
Côte d'Ivoire*	71.9	13.3	10.7	39.7	92.3	7.5
Tanzania	62.8	2.7	49.5	4.4	85.3	14.6
Uganda	57.4	3.8	30.4	20.5	83.4	16.3

Source: UNCTAD Statistics database


The three countries selected for this study exhibit typical features of natural resource dependence and are therefore compelling cases for examining the extent of exposure to capital flight associated with natural resource endowment and governance of the natural resource sectors.

In the case of Cameroon, crude oil exports represented an average of 50.3% of total exports over 2018-2022, while cocoa and tea accounted for 27.2%. The share of crude oil in total exports as well as its contribution to GDP have been declining over time. In 1986, crude oil accounted for 19.9 percent of GDP and 48.2 percent of total exports (Figure 1).

Ghana’s economy is also dominated by primary commodities, which make up 94.7 percent of total exports. Ghana’s exports have been traditionally dominated by gold and cocoa. Natural resource dependence has increased with the discovery of oil. In 2021, oil, gold, and cocoa accounted for 80.6 percent of total exports (Figure 2).


Zambia’s economy is dominated by the mineral sector, primarily copper and cobalt, which accounted for 73.5 percent of total exports in 2020 (Bank of Zambia database). This share has fluctuated over time following variations in production and international prices of minerals (Figure 3). For instance, in 2010, it was at 81%, but it dropped to 65% in 2013.

Figure 1: Contribution of oil to Cameroon’s total exports and GDP (percent)




Source: Government statistical databases

Figure 2: Contribution key commodities to Ghana’s total exports (percent)



Source: Bank of Ghana database

Figure 3: Share of copper and cobalt in Zambia’s total exports (percent)



Source: Bank of Zambia database

The question is, what explains the relatively high exposure to capital flight for countries that depend on natural resources? The relationship between capital flight and natural resources involves both direct and indirect linkages, which can be conceptualized in various ways (Ndikumana and Sarr, 2019). First, abundance in natural resources, and especially high dependence on revenue from resource rents, tends to weaken the mechanisms of control and accountability. This is because the resource rents reduce the government’s need to collect taxes, which consequently weakens the state-people performance contract. Such an environment nurtures temptations for politicians to embezzle the resource rents and other state revenues, part of which are siphoned abroad for safe

keeping. Kolstad and Soreide (2009) put it as follows: “corruption is the development problem in resource-rich countries, rather than just one of a number of problems” (p. 14).

Second, when natural resource exploitation is dominated by multinational corporations (MNCs), the imbalance of economic power and technical capacity between the African state (the regulator) and the MNCs creates opportunities for violation and abuse of rules and regulations in the areas of taxation, trade, and foreign exchange transactions. In such an environment, capital flight may occur through trade misinvoicing by exporters as well as through embezzlement of revenues by public officials.

Third, the outflow of capital through export misinvoicing is further facilitated by the ability of globally connected corporations to trade commodities among related entities. In the case of African countries where extractive sectors are dominated by global MNCs, we can expect a close connection between capital flight and natural resource dependence.

The aim of the case studies on Cameroon, Ghana and Zambia is to further explore the linkages between natural resources and capital flight through detailed historical, institutional and statistical analysis focusing on key resource sectors in each country.

Institutions, natural resource governance and capital flight

Even though most resource-endowed African countries have experienced relatively higher capital flight than their counterparts, there are a few exceptions that have not suffered a similar fate. A good example is Botswana, which is the world’s top producer of diamonds and yet experienced virtually no capital flight (estimated at a mere \$1.2 billion over 1970-2022). This suggests that while endowment in natural resources may be a cause of vulnerability to capital flight, there are other factors that help mitigate this risk. One prominent mitigating factor is the quality of institutions in general, and the quality of management of the extractive sectors in particular. In other words, efficient natural resource governance prevents natural resource-fueled capital flight.

Cross-sectional econometric analysis on African countries supports this proposition: the interaction between resource rents and measures of institutional quality has been shown to be positively related with capital flight (Ndikumana and Boyce, 2023; Ndikumana, Boyce, and

Ndiaye, 2015). Case studies provide even more direct evidence on the role of institutions and governance of extractive industries for the linkages between capital flight and natural resources. The cases of Angola, Côte d'Ivoire and South Africa are analyzed in detail in Ndikumana and Boyce (2022). In Angola, oil has fueled capital flight and personal enrichment of politically connected individuals and corporations through export misinvoicing, embezzlement of export proceeds that “go missing,” and funneling funds in offshore financial centers with the help of international commercial banks (Shaxson, 2022). The Angolan national oil company has been used by the political elites as a cash cow. Capital flight from Angola is a manifestation of the ‘resource curse’ preventing the country from fully leveraging its oil endowment.

In Côte d'Ivoire, where the cocoa sector is fully privatized and dominated by foreign corporations, endemic opacity in cocoa export statistics is a signal of export misinvoicing as a channel of capital flight (Merckaert, 2022). Export misinvoicing plagues South Africa’s mineral sector (Ndikumana, Naidoo, and Aboobaker, 2022), resulting in large volumes of capital flight. The case of South Africa presents a clear illustration of the complex network of domestic and international actors that participate in the plunder of public assets (including those of state-owned enterprises) for accumulation of private wealth stashed abroad with the help of domestic and foreign banks.

One aspect of governance of natural resources that matters for the linkages between capital flight and natural resources is the ownership structure, and specifically the role of the public sector in extractive industries. The first question is whether extractive companies are national or foreign, and the second is whether they are privately owned, government owned or mixed. The case of Ghana offers a useful case study in this respect, especially in comparing the organizational structure and regulation of the cocoa sector and that of the gold sector, and the implications for the performance of the two sectors and the impact on the economy. Ndikumana and Adjei-Mantey (2023) analyze the case of cocoa, while Ndikumana and Cantah (2023) examine the gold sector. The two studies reveal significant differences between the two sectors due to differences in the regulatory framework and organizational structure of the two sectors. While the cocoa sector is strictly regulated by the government with a dual role of COCOBOD as an operator and a regulator, the gold sector is fully liberalized and it is governed by a business-friendly fiscal regime. The analysis of trade statistics shows a stark difference: while cocoa trade statistics do not exhibit large systematic discrepancies between Ghana’s exports and its partners’ recorded imports, gold

statistics exhibit large discrepancies – especially in the case of gold exported to South Africa. Specifically, Ghana reports substantial gold exports to South Africa, but little Ghanaian gold shows up in South Africa’s import statistics. To the extent that these discrepancies in gold trade with South Africa (overinvoicing of gold exports) are not compensated by symmetric discrepancies with other partners (underinvoicing), they would reduce the estimate of capital flight.

Moreover, while all the proceeds of cocoa exports are repatriated to Ghana by COCOBOD, a substantial fraction of earnings from gold export is retained abroad by foreign companies following specific clauses in the Development Agreements signed between the government and individual gold companies (Ndikumana and Cantah, 2023). The two modes of sectoral management and regulation generate substantially different outcomes in terms of exposure to capital flight.

Evidence on the linkages between capital flight and natural resources through trade misinvoicing has also been established in other studies on African countries. A few examples are given here for illustrative purposes. Kwaramba, Mahonye and Mandishara (2016) present evidence of export misinvoicing in Zambia in the cases of diamonds, gold and nickel. The cases of export misinvoicing in the oil and timber sectors in Cameroon have been examined in studies by Gankou, Bedoma and Sow (2016) and Mpenya et al. (2016). Substantial export misinvoicing has been found in the diamonds sector in Central African Republic, mainly attributed to weak governance (Kossele and Njong, 2020). The evidence suggests that export misinvoicing is a key mechanism in the strong link between natural resources and capital flight.

External debt

The empirical literature has documented close linkages between capital flight and external debt, especially since the debt crises of the 1980s (Ndikumana and Boyce, 2003; Ndikumana and Boyce, 2011a, 2011b; Ndikumana, Boyce and Ndiaye, 2015). The relationships can be both direct and indirect. External debt can directly fuel capital flight as borrowed funds are embezzled and converted into private wealth that is funneled abroad into bank accounts and physical assets such as real estate and other valuable objects. Econometric evidence has shown that in the case of African countries, more than half each dollar borrowed flows back abroad as capital flight in the


same year (Ndikumana and Boyce, 2003; Ndikumana, Boyce and Ndiaye, 2015). Additional leakages of borrowed funds continue in subsequent years.

External borrowing also causes capital flight indirectly through adverse effects on the economy that in turn induce capital flight. The accumulation of external debt increases investment risk and reduces the returns to investment, inducing wealth holders to prefer to transfer their assets abroad. These ‘debt overhang’ effects are magnified by weak economic governance and political instability, which may presage high taxation or high risk of expropriation in the future. Wealth holders may seek to avoid such risks by funneling their wealth abroad.

The debt-capital flight links merit serious attention given the recent rapid acceleration of external debts across the continent, with some countries facing severe debt distress and even default (Ndikumana, Mannah-Blankson, Njuguna, 2020; Coulibaly, Ghandi and Senbet, 2019). Debt accumulation in Africa since the turn of the century has coincided with an explosion of capital flight (Ndikumana and Boyce, 2021). The trend worsened prior to the Covid crisis and was further exacerbated by its economic fallout.

The three countries covered in this study exhibit the phenomenon of rapid increase in external debt from the turn of the century, as depicted in Figure 4. Another feature of this period is that the rise in debt stock has coincided with substantial increase in US dollar-denominated debt, leading to rising costs of debt service due to the steady appreciation of the US dollar against national currencies. Indeed, Zambia has experienced an increase in the average interest rate on new external debt commitments and in nonguaranteed private debt, which raises the overall cost of debt. As a result of the rapidly rising burden of debt obligations in the context of a struggling economy, Zambia became the first African country to face debt default in the wake of the Covid crisis in 2020. This underscores the importance of carefully investigating the implications of debt accumulation for capital flight in African countries.

Figure 4: Trends in external debt in Cameroon, Ghana and Zambia over 2000-2021



Source: World Bank, International Debt Statistics

Globalization, safe havens and offshore financial centers

Globalization has increased the speed and scope of movement of goods and finance across countries. With the acceleration of technological innovation, globalization has also been associated with increased difficulties in deterring illicit financial flows. These flows are facilitated by the expansion and sophistication of the so-called ‘safe havens’ and offshore financial centers that help in the transfer and concealment of private wealth. The accumulation of private wealth from past capital flight from Africa has reached towering heights, making the continent a ‘net creditor’ to the rest of the world in the sense that the accumulated stock of wealth from capital flight exceeds the continent’s liabilities vis-à-vis the rest of the world. This illustrates the substantial opportunity costs incurred by the continent from capital flight, beyond the outflows that deplete domestic savings and undermines productive investment on an annual basis.

The global nature of capital flight and the forces that facilitate it imply that strategies to combat the problem must also be global. In particular, preventing further capital flight and inducing the repatriation of private wealth will require a global compact anchored around enforcement of transparency and accountability in international trade and financial systems, supported by effective and universal automatic sharing of trade and banking information on a country-by-country basis.

3. Estimation of capital flight: methodology and data

Capital flight is estimated following the latest methodology as presented in Ndikumana and Boyce (2025), which fine-tuned the algorithm used in previous studies (see Ndikumana and Boyce, 2010, 2022). For each country in a given year, capital flight is measured as unrecorded capital outflows, which is obtained as the difference between recorded sources of inflows and recorded uses of these inflows. Recorded inflows consist of net external borrowing (reported in the International Debt Statistics), foreign direct investment, portfolio investment and ‘other investment’ as recorded in the Balance of Payments. Net external borrowing (debt flows) is obtained from the change in debt stock, after adjustments for exchange rate fluctuations (for the currencies in which the external debt is denominated), debt write-offs or forgiveness, and interest arrears accumulation. These

inflows of foreign exchange are used to cover the current account deficit, with the balance added to the stock of foreign exchange reserves. The difference between recorded inflows and recorded uses provides a measure of capital flight as a balance-of-payments residual:

$$KF = CDEBTADJ + FDI + PI + OIADJ - (CAD + CRES) \quad (1)$$

where CDEBTADJ is the change in debt stock adjusted for exchange rate fluctuations, debt forgiveness, and change in interest arrears; FDI is foreign direct investment; PI is portfolio investment; OIADJ is other investments adjusted for debt forgiveness (see Ndikumana and Boyce 2025); CAD is the current account deficit; and CRES is net additions to foreign exchange reserves.

The recorded value ‘other investment’ is adjusted to take into account the fact that debt forgiveness is recorded on this line of the BOP under ‘other debt instruments’. This is normally also recorded under ‘Reserves and Related Items’ as ‘exceptional financing’, with offsetting signs. In that case using net ‘other investment’ as recorded and ‘reserves and related items’ (instead of ‘reserve assets’) would not require any adjustment. A non-adjustment of ‘other investment’ for debt forgiveness (recorded with a negative sign) would reduce estimated capital flight in the concerned year. For countries that have received substantial debt forgiveness, this adjustment results in an increase in net capital flight for relative to our earlier estimates where this adjustment was not made.

To obtain a comprehensive measure of capital flight, we add net misinvoicing of exports and imports. This is obtained by comparing an African country’s trade statistics to those of its trading partners. Following established methodology, we compute annual trade misinvoicing by scaling up the discrepancies relative to the group of industrialized countries (ICs) (labeled ‘advanced economies’ in the BoP), using the inverse of the share of this group in the African country’s exports or imports as appropriate.

The destinations of some exports and sources of some imports are not indicated in the official trade statistics and are instead recorded under unspecified areas (‘special categories’, ‘countries and areas not specified’, and ‘other not identified elsewhere’). If such flows belong to the group of industrialized countries used as our benchmark, this will cause a bias in our estimates. To reduce biases that may arise from such misclassification of imports and exports, we assume that the shares

of imports or exports recorded under ‘unspecified areas’ which in fact belong to ICs are proportional to the shares of ICs in the African country’s total country-specific imports and exports.

For a given African country i in year t , export misinvoicing (DXIC) and import misinvoicing (DMIC) with respect to ICs are calculated as follows:

Import misinvoicing:

$$DMIC_{it} = (M_{i,IC,t} + M_{i,IC,t}^U) - cif * X_{IC,it} \quad (2)$$

Export misinvoicing:

$$DXIC_{it} = M_{IC,it} - cif * (X_{i,IC,t} + X_{i,IC,t}^U) \quad (3)$$

The terms $X_{i,IC,t}^U$ and $M_{i,IC,t}^U$ represent the amounts of exports and imports recorded under ‘unspecified areas’ that are allocated to ICs based on the latter’s shares in the African country’s total exports and imports.

Aggregate net trade misinvoicing relative to all the country’s trading partners is obtained by scaling up the discrepancies with respect to ICs with the inverse of the IC group’s share in the country’s imports and exports as follows:

$$MISINV_{it} = \frac{DMIC_{it}}{ICMS_{it}} + \frac{DXIC_{it}}{ICXS_{it}} \quad (4)$$

Where $ICMS$ is IC’s share in the sum of country’s total imports from advanced economies and imports to emerging and developing countries. $ICXS$ in turn stands for IC’s share in the sum of country’s total exports.

Capital flight adjusted for trade misinvoicing ($ADJKF$) is obtained by adding the estimated trade misinvoicing to the balance of payments residual as follows:

$$ADJKF = CDEBTADJ + FDI + PI + OIADJ - (CAD + CRES) + MISINV \quad (4)$$

4. Estimation results

This section presents the results of capital flight estimations for the three countries in this study.

The case of Cameroon

The results for Cameroon are presented in Table 2 and Figure 5. Over the 1970-2022 period, Cameroon lost \$97.7 billion (in constant 2022 dollars) through capital flight. The largest unrecorded capital outflows occurred in the 1980s (\$28 billion for the decade) and during 2010-2022 (\$33.5 billion), up from \$17.3 billion over 2000-2009. In 2020 and 2021 alone, the country lost \$6.5 billion through capital flight, or an average of \$3.2 billion per year, which is higher than the average annual loss recorded in the 1980s (\$2.8 billion per annum).

Key drivers of capital flight from Cameroon are external borrowing and trade misinvoicing. Over the period 2010-2022, net external debt inflows totaled \$15.3 billion, or an average of \$1.2 billion annually. Net trade misinvoicing added \$17.8 billion to the leakage obtained through the Balance of Payments (BoP) residual.

On the sources-of-foreign-exchange side, in addition to external borrowing Cameroon received significant foreign direct investment totaling \$17.2 billion over the four decades, and other investments totaling \$40.7 billion over the study period. On the uses-of-foreign exchange side, the inflows were offset in the BoP by the current account deficit, with some limited additions to the foreign exchange reserves.

In relative terms, total capital flight from Cameroon was equivalent to 220 percent of the country's 2022 GDP. On an annual basis, capital flight represented 7 percent of GDP on average over the past four decades, with the highest ratio recorded in 1980s at 13%.

Table 2: Capital flight from Cameroon, totals by decade (constant 2022 US\$, billion)


	1970- 1979	1980- 1989	1990- 1999	2000- 2009	2010- 2022	1970- 2022
CDEBTADJ	7.5	8.2	11.3	-6.3	15.3	36.0
FDI	0.7	2.2	0.2	3.8	10.3	17.2
PI	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.3	-0.7
OIADJ	1.3	9.5	7.7	10.1	12.1	40.7
CA	-7.1	-10.9	-5.9	-5.3	-18.9	-48.0
CRES	0.0	0.0	0.1	-4.5	-2.7	-7.1
BOP Residual	2.4	9.0	13.2	-2.3	15.7	38.0
Trade misinvoicing	2.1	18.9	1.3	19.6	17.8	59.7
Adjusted capital flight	4.4	28.0	14.5	17.3	33.5	97.7
<i>Memorandum</i>						
Sources of funds*	9.5	20.0	19.0	7.5	37.3	93.2
Uses of funds *	7.1	10.9	5.8	9.8	21.6	55.2
BOP Residual	2.4	9.0	13.2	-2.3	15.7	38.0
Private flows (FDI + PI)	0.7	2.2	-0.1	3.7	10.0	16.5
Average annual capital flight	0.4	2.8	1.4	1.7	2.6	1.8
Average annual capital flight/GDP (percent)	5.0	13.0	6.8	6.7	6.2	7.0

Notes: The symbols of the variables are defined in the text under the equation providing the algorithm for computation of capital flight.⁴

*Sources of funds = CDEBTADJ + FDI + PI + OIADJ; Uses of funds = CA + CRES

⁴ The definitions are reproduced here for convenience: CDEBTADJ = change in debt adjusted for exchange rate fluctuations, debt forgiveness and interest arrears accumulation; FDI = foreign direct investment; PI = portfolio investment; OIADJ = other investment adjusted for debt forgiveness; CA = current account balance; CRES = change in reserves

Figure 5: Trend of capital flight and trade misinvoicing in Cameroon, 1970-2022 (billion, constant 2022 US\$)



Source: Author's computations

The case of Ghana

The results for Ghana are presented in Table 3 and Figure 6. Over the past four decades Ghana lost \$85.7 billion (in constant 2022 dollars) from unrecorded capital outflows. The phenomenon exhibits an upward trend since 2010. During the last decade, the volume of capital flight increased substantially relative to the previous decade, from \$27.7 billion to \$44.6 billion. On average, over the study period, the country lost \$1.6 billion per year, representing 3.2 percent of the country's GDP. Cumulative capital flight as of 2022 represented 115 percent of GDP that year.

External borrowing (change in the stock of external debt) and foreign direct investment inflows into Ghana more than balanced the current account deficits and accumulation of reserves on the uses side, generating net unrecorded capital outflows. External borrowing grew rapidly after the turn of the century and has accelerated thereafter, with new inflows totaling \$38.8 billion over the period 2010-2022. During the same period the country received large net FDI inflows totaling \$44 billion, most of which most likely went into the oil and gold sectors.

Unlike the cases of Cameroon and Zambia (next section) reviewed in this study, net trade misinvoicing has not significantly contributed to total capital flight from Ghana. Important insights on this topic emerge from the analysis of two key sectors in the economy, gold (Ndikumana and Cantah, 2023) and cocoa (Ndikumana and Ajei-Mantey, 2023). In the case of cocoa, disaggregated statistical analysis reveals relatively low export misinvoicing. To a significant extent, the limited export misinvoicing is attributable to the unique organizational and regulatory structure of the cocoa sector in which the state company COCOBOD is the only entity authorized to export cocoa.⁵ Thus, the usual incentives for export misinvoicing (for tax evasion and to conceal foreign exchange earnings) do not apply. The cocoa sector therefore contributes relatively little to capital flight through export misinvoicing.

In contrast, the case of gold is quite complex, with the odd situation where gold exports appear to be overinvoiced. Ghana's recorded gold exports exceeded its partners' recorded imports substantially beyond the cost of insurance and freight (Ndikumana and Cantah, 2023). The extreme case is that of Ghanaian gold exports to South Africa, where it does not appear at all in the latter's import statistics. This suggests that overall gold export misinvoicing and generally discrepancies between Ghana's exports and its partners' imports may in fact contribute to reducing the estimated capital flight from Ghana.⁶

⁵ This contrasts significantly with the case of Côte d'Ivoire where cocoa exporting is dominated by private firms. Analysis of the sector reveals substantial discrepancies between recorded cocoa exports on the Ivoirian side and recorded cocoa imports from the partners' side (see Merckaert, 2022).

⁶ Two points are worth noting here. First, given that our estimate of net trade misinvoicing is obtained by scaling up the discrepancies with respect to the group of industrialized countries, it does not reflect discrepancies with South Africa. Second, the fact that South Africa reports no gold imports from Ghana in fact tells us nothing about whether Ghana's gold exports data understate or overstate the value of gold exported to South Africa. Even if Ghana's gold exports were underinvoiced, the reported value would still exceed the value reported by South Africa given these peculiarities of South Africa's trade statistics.


Table 3: Capital flight from Ghana, totals by decade (constant 2022 US\$, billion)

	1970- 1979	1980- 1989	1990- 1999	2000- 2009	2010- 2022	1970- 2022
CDEBTADJ	2.7	5.1	7.0	16.7	38.8	70.3
FDI	1.1	0.2	2.0	10.7	44.0	58.0
PI	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	14.6	15.6
OIADJ	1.5	4.0	5.8	16.1	-12.7	14.7
CA	-1.1	-2.9	-7.1	-15.5	-46.5	-73.1
CRES	-1.2	-0.6	-0.2	-3.6	-1.0	-6.7
BOP Residual	3.0	5.8	7.5	25.3	37.1	78.7
Trade misinvoicing	-0.8	-0.9	-1.3	2.4	7.5	6.9
Adjusted capital flight	2.2	4.9	6.3	27.7	44.6	85.7
<i>Memorandum</i>						
Sources of funds	5.3	9.4	14.8	44.4	84.6	158.5
Uses of funds	2.3	3.5	7.2	19.1	47.6	79.8
BOP Residual	3.0	5.8	7.5	25.3	37.1	78.7
Private flows	1.1	0.2	2.0	11.7	58.6	73.6
Annual average capital flight	0.2	0.5	0.6	2.8	3.4	1.6
Average annual capital flight/GDP (percent)	0.5	0.4	0.9	8.9	4.8	3.2

Notes: The symbols of the variables are defined under the equation providing the algorithm for computation of capital flight.

*Sources of funds = CDEBTADJ + FDI + PI + OIADJ; Uses of funds = CA + CRES

Figure 6: Trend of capital flight and trade misinvoicing in Ghana, 1970-2022 (billion, constant 2022 US\$)



Source: Author's computations

The case of Zambia

The results for capital flight from Zambia are presented in Table 4 and Figure 7. Total capital flight over the period 1970-2022 amounts to \$79.6 billion, with average annual unrecorded outflows of \$1.2 billion million over the past five decades. Trade misinvoicing plays a significant role in capital flight, adding \$16.9 billion to the BoP residual over the four decades under study.

The most recent decade witnessed an explosion of capital flight, with a total of \$39.4 billion, strongly correlated with both external borrowing (\$28.9 billion) and trade misinvoicing (\$10 billion). In addition to external borrowing, FDI was a major source of foreign exchange during the 2010-2022 period (\$15.9 billion), with the two components together offsetting recorded outflows on the 'other investment' line of the BoP (-\$30.8 billion).

The case of Zambia illustrates the risks associated with high external borrowing in association with capital flight. While the country must meet rising debt obligations resulting from acceleration in both the volume and cost of external borrowing, its debt service capacity is eroded by capital flight. Financial hemorrhage through capital flight precipitates debt distress and is one of the factors that can force a country into default, as witnessed by Zambia in 2020.


Table 4: Capital flight from Zambia, totals by decade (constant 2022 \$, billion)

	1970- 1979	1980- 1989	1990- 1999	2000- 2009	2010- 2022	1970- 2022
CDEBTADJ	12.1	7.9	2.5	4.9	28.9	56.2
FDI	1.3	1.2	2.5	7.0	15.9	27.9
PI	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	6.0	6.2
OIADJ	1.2	11.3	1.6	-10.9	-30.8	-27.6
CA	-8.9	-9.3	-4.4	-4.3	8.0	-19.0
CRES	3.0	5.1	3.4	6.1	1.4	19.0
BOP Residual	8.8	16.1	5.5	3.0	29.4	62.8
Trade misinvoicing	-2.0	10.0	1.2	-2.3	10.0	16.9
Adjusted capital flight	6.8	26.1	6.7	0.7	39.4	79.6
<i>Memorandum</i>						
Sources of funds	14.7	20.3	6.6	1.2	20.0	62.8
Uses of funds	5.9	4.2	1.1	-1.8	-9.4	0.0
BOP Residual	8.8	16.1	5.5	3.0	29.4	62.8
Private flows (FDI + PI)	1.3	1.2	2.6	7.2	21.9	34.2
Annual average capital flight	0.9	1.6	0.6	0.3	2.3	1.2
Average annual capital flight/GDP (percent)	6.4	34.9	10.2	0.6	10.6	10.1

Notes: The symbols of the variables are defined under the equation providing the algorithm for computation of capital flight.

*Sources of funds = CDEBTADJ + FDI + PI + OIADJ; Uses of funds = CA + CRES

Figure 7: Trend of capital flight and trade misinvoicing in Zambia, 1970-2022 (billion, constant 2022 US\$)



Source: Author's computations

5. Conclusion

The objective of this paper was to generate up-to-date estimates of capital flight from Cameroon, Ghana, and Zambia, and to examine key drivers of the level and trend of capital flight. The results show that the three countries have experienced large unrecorded capital outflows, amounting to a total of \$97.7 billion for Cameroon, \$85.7 billion for Ghana, and \$79.6 billion for Zambia over the period 1970-2022 (in constant 2022 US dollars). Furthermore, all three countries have witnessed an acceleration of capital flight since the turn of the twenty-first century, in a context of severe, recurrent and simultaneous crises that have worsened the shortage of development financing across the African continent.

An important difference among the three countries that emerges from the results presented in this paper is that while net trade misinvoicing contributes significantly to estimated capital flight in the cases of Cameroon and Zambia, it plays a limited role as a conduit of capital flight from Ghana. This reflects specific regulatory and management frameworks governing two key sectors of the Ghanaian economy, namely gold and cocoa. While the heavily regulated cocoa sector exhibits low

export misinvoicing, the liberalized gold sector exhibits substantial discrepancies between Ghana's exports and its partners' imports, anomalously pointing to apparent export overinvoicing (which statistically reduces estimated capital flight). The inconsistencies in gold exports data merit further investigation.

The chronic nature of capital flight and its continued acceleration suggest that it is driven by structural factors with domestic and global dimensions. It implies that capital flight is not a problem that will simply go away on its own. It needs to be addressed with a robust strategy that is coordinated at the regional and global levels. National policy design can draw on policies to combat capital flight and illicit financial flows that are emerging in the academic literature and international agency reports (see Boyce and Ndikumana, 2015, 2022; UNCTAD, 2020). Because capital flight and illicit financial flows are transnational phenomena, a strategy to combat them requires robust multilateral engagement to address their drivers and channels, including tax evasion, money laundering, corruption, lack of transparency in trade and financial systems, and institutionalized banking secrecy. Africa in particular and the world in general will benefit immensely from better policy coordination and exchange of information on trade, banking, and taxation at the global level.

At the country level, African governments urgently need to re-examine the management and regulatory frameworks governing natural resource exploitation. Policy design and reform efforts must be focused on increasing transparency, compliance with national regulations and laws including tax compliance, and incentivizing domestic investment in the natural resource sector. The goal is to reduce financial hemorrhage and maximize the gains from natural resource exploitation by curtailing trade misinvoicing, maximizing tax and non-tax revenue, and ensuring effective repatriation of foreign exchange generated from commodity exports. To achieve these goals, African governments and their development partners need to scale up investments in the "data infrastructure" (UNCTAD, 2020) with a focus on sector-level research and data generation. The tool kit for fighting capital flight includes various instruments, notably integrated digitalization of trade, financial, and tax systems, which will improve transparency, timely release of data, audit, monitoring of compliance, and detection of violations of trade, finance and capital account regulations. In this context, development partners need to support Africa by scaling up financial resources and technical assistance into digitalization in African economies.

References

- Coulibaly, B. S., D. Gandhi, and L.W. Senbet (2019). Is sub-Saharan Africa facing another systemic sovereign debt crisis? Washington DC: Brookings Institution.
- Gankou, J.-M., Bendoma, M., Sow, M.N. (2016). The institutional environment and the link between capital flows and capital flight in Cameroon. *African Development Review* 28 (S1), 65–87.
- Kolstad, I., and Søreide, T. (2009). Corruption in natural resource management: Implications for policy makers. *Resources Policy*, 34(4), 214-226.
- Kwaramba, M., Mahonye, N., & Mandishara, L. (2016). Capital flight and trade misinvoicing in Zimbabwe. *African Development Review*, 28(S1), 50-64.
- Merckaert, J. (2022). Côte d'Ivoire: Bitter Chocolate. In Ndikumana, L. and J.K. Boyce (Eds.). *On the Trail of Capital Flight from Africa. The Takers and the Enablers*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 99-148.
- Mpenya, A.H.T., Metseyem, C., and Epo, B.N. (2016). Natural resources and capital flight in Cameroon. *African Development Review* 28 (S1), 88–99.
- Ndikumana, L. and Adjei-Mantey, K. (2023). Cocoa in Ghana, the 'Political Crop': Does state control shield the cocoa sector from exposure to capital flight. PERI Working Paper 583.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J. K. (2003). Public debts and private assets: Explaining capital flight from sub-Saharan African countries. *World Development*, 31(1), 107–130.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J. K. (2010). Measurement of Capital Flight: Methodology and Results for Sub-Saharan African Countries. *African Development Review* 22 (4), 471-481.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J.K. (2011a). Africa's Odious Debts: How Foreign Loans Capital Flight and Capital Flight Bled a Continent. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J. K. (2011b). Capital Flight from sub-Saharan African Countries: Linkages with External Borrowing and Policy Options. *International Review of Applied Economics Vol. 25: No 2, PP 149 — 170*.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J. K. (2015). Strategies for addressing capital flight. In S.I. Ajayi and L. Ndikumana (Eds.), *Capital Flight from Africa: Causes, Effects and Policy Issues* (pp. 393–417). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J. K. (2022). Capital Flight from Angola, Côte d'Ivoire, and South Africa: An Overview. In Ndikumana, L. and J.K. Boyce (Eds.). *On the Trail of Capital Flight from Africa. The Takers and the Enablers*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 10-36.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J. K. (2022). Conclusions: Capital flight in the world economy. In Ndikumana, L. and J.K. Boyce (Eds.). *On the Trail of Capital Flight from Africa. The Takers and the Enablers*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 234-246.
- Ndikumana, L. and Boyce, J. K. (2025). New estimates Capital flight from Africa over 1970-2022. PERI Research Report (January).
- Ndikumana, L., Boyce, J. K. and Ndiaye, A. S. (2015). Capital Flight from Africa: Measurement and Drivers. In S. I. Ajayi and L. Ndikumana (Eds.), *Capital Flight from Africa: Causes, Effects and Policy Issues*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 15-54.
- Ndikumana, L. and Cantah, W. G. (2023). Gold in Ghana: A story of Unbalanced Exchange. PERI Working Paper 584 (September).

- Ndikumana, L. and Sarr, M. (2019). Capital flight and foreign direct investment in Africa: An investigation of the role of natural resource endowment. *Resources Policy*, 63(101327) (October).
- Ndikumana, L., Boyce, J. K., and Ndiaye, A.S. (2015). Capital flight from Africa: Measurement and drivers. In S.I. Ajayi and L. Ndikumana (Eds.), *Capital Flight from Africa: Causes, Effects and Policy Issues*, (pp. 15–54). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ndikumana, L., Mannah-Blankson, T. and Espiritu-Njuguna, A. (2020). Looming Debt Crisis in Sub-Saharan Africa: Drivers, Implications and Policy Options. Political Economy Research Institute, Working Paper 529.
- Ndikumana, L., Naidoo, K. and Aboobaker, A. (2022). South Africa: Capital Flight, State Capture and Inequality. In Ndikumana, L. and J.K. Boyce (Eds.). *On the Trail of Capital Flight from Africa. The Takers and the Enablers*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 149-192.
- Shaxson, N. (2022). Angola: Oil and Capital Flight. In Ndikumana, L. and J.K. Boyce (Eds.). *On the Trail of Capital Flight from Africa. The Takers and the Enablers*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 39-98.
- UNCTAD (2016). Trade Mis-invoicing In Primary Commodities in Developing Countries: The cases of Chile, Côte d’Ivoire, Nigeria, South Africa and Zambia. Geneva: UNCTAD.
- UNCTAD (2020). *Tackling Illicit Financial Flows for Sustainable Development in Africa. Economic Development in Africa Report 2020*. Geneva: UNCTAD.
- UNECA (2015). *Illicit Financial Flows: Report of the High Level Panel on Illicit Financial Flows from Africa*. Addis Ababa: UNECA.
- Yapatake Kossele, T. P., & Njong, M. A. (2020). Capital flight and diamond exports in the Central African Republic: The role of political governance crisis. *African Development Review*, 32(3), 362-374.